Executive Summary: Planning for Assessment of the CSU General Education Program

Goal: Develop and implement an evidence based General Education curriculum, with a manageable, faculty-driven assessment process that meets SACS and USG requirements.

Major Events Timeline		
Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Spring 2018
Summer 2017 May/June - Developed Action Plan at AAC&U IGEA July – Discussed Action Plan with Provost's Office July – Gen Ed Assessment Workshop • Drafted rubrics for Areas A-E using LEAP VALUE Rubrics • Examined student work (convenient sample)	Major Events TimelineFall 2017August – Develop General Education Websitewith proposed changes, LEAP VALUE Rubrics,and solicit feedback.Aug – Update Gen. Ed. Comm, getrecommendationsAug – Present plan to relevant chairs & faculty• Fall pilot• Solicit faculty volunteers for fall pilot• Solicit faculty volunteers for fall pilot• Full-scale participation in spring 2018Sept (Aug?) – Present 2017-2018 plans to deans,faculty senate, enlist supportSept – Second solicitation of volunteers for fall pilotPilot assessment:	Spring 2018 January – Faculty assess student work samples using rubrics All Gen Ed courses: • Designate assignment(s) that align with area outcome(s) • Collect student work, make accessible to AVP of Institutional Assessment Next Assessment Workshop in summer 2018
• Recommendati ons for each core area	 Volunteer faculty align assignments with outcomes and rubrics Volunteer faculty insure student work is in CougarView by Oct 15 	
July – Discuss plans with President	• Test extraction of student work Nov – Gen Ed committee reviews work submitted, provides feedback	

Issues ahead:

- Get full participation for all faculty who teach in the Core: 1) Put student learning outcome(s) in syllabus, 2) align an assignment that is a good representation of the student learning outcome/rubric, 3) have students turn-in their assignments in D2L
- Achieve buy-in / use the process to make meaningful change
- Logistics of collecting & housing student work (preferably D2L) work with COOL
- Area D Natural Science needs further development

Gains already achieved:

- Early signs of cohesiveness
- Focus on our students
- Conversations across departments
- Rubrics drafted using LEAP VALUE Rubrics
- Obtained 14 faculty recommendations (see next page)

Recommendations received on July 7, 2017

Area A1 Written Communications

- 1. Revise Student Learning Outcome 1 with Written LEAP VALUE Rubric (ENGL 1101)
- 2. Revise Student Learning Outcome 2 with Information Literacy LEAP VALUE Rubric (ENGL 1102)

Area A2 Quantitative

- 1. Use the Representation and Calculation domains in the Quantitative LEAP VALUE Rubric
- 2. Revise student learning outcomes to include one for representation and one for calculation
- 3. View ETS and MFT results to determine if these data points reflect student learning outcomes

Area B Institutional Options (Communicating in a Global Environment)

Direct adoption of LEAP VALUE Rubric for Oral Communication with addition of the following items:

- 1. Include time management under organization
- 2. Fold in diverse sources under supporting material
- 3. Account for diverse audiences under language and delivery

Area C Humanities / Fine Arts / Ethics

1. Revisit student learning outcomes so it accurately reflects the core in Area C; possibly splitting Humanities and Fine Arts Outcomes.

Suggestions for learning outcome(s) for Fine Arts

- 1. Students have the ability to recognize the fine arts as expressions of human experience.
- 2. Students have the ability to critically appreciate historical and contemporary fine art forms as they relate to individual and social needs and values.
- 3. Students have the ability to apply knowledge of historical, social, and cultural influences to understanding a work of art.

Area D Natural Sciences

- 1. Drop student learning outcomes 1 and 3
- 2. Expand student learning outcome 2 to describe parts of scientific process.
- 3. Adopt portions of heavily edited Scientific Reasoning Rubric

Area E Social Sciences

- 1. Consider deleting student learning outcome 1
- 2. Revise student learning outcome 2. Reasonable but add something about credible and relevant sources, using evidence to reach logical conclusions. (PROCESS)