## Appendix III Columbus State University Comprehensive Program Review Evaluation for the BSN in Nursing

## Section Two - Indicators of Program Quality

		Rating Category		Observations	
II.	Summary Findings of Pr				
	Self-Study	Very Strong			
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	Full accreditation granted without recommendations	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:		
II A.	The Quality of Faculty				
	Self-Study	Very Strong			
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	One of the biggest assets of the SON	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:		
II B.	The Quality of the Teaching				
	Self-Study	Very Strong			
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:		
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	Teaching evaluation form provided, but no data. Concern expressed about only 2 advisors within the program.	
II C.	The Quality of Research	and Scholarship			
	Self-Study	Satisfactory			
	Review Team		Review Team Observations:		
		Satisfactory		Faculty have increased scholarly activities over the past 18 months and have addressed the need for more scholarly activities such as publication, presentations, etc. These expectations will be addressed in the new standards of excellence.	
	CPR Committee	Below Average	CPR Committee Observations:	Lack of publication and few presentations for 17 full-time faculty	
II D.	The Quality of Service				
	Self-Study	Very Strong			
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	Faculty and students are involved in a variety of service activities for the SON, university, and community.	
	CPR Committee	Very Strong	CPR Committee Observations:		
II E. The Quality of Faculty and Student Achievements					
	Self-Study	Above Average			
	Review Team	Above Average	Review Team Observations:		
	CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:		
II F.	The Quality of Curriculur	m			
	Self-Study	Very Strong			
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	The BSN curriculum was recently revised with new program outcomes based on the Institute of Medicince recommendations for	
				healthcare education.	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:		
II G. The Quality of Facilities & Equipment					
	Self-Study	Above Average			
	Review Team	Above Average	Review Team Observations:		
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:		
Secti	on Three - Indicators of	Program Productivity Rating Category		Observations	
		realing Salegory		Obsci validis	

Summary Findings of Program's Overall Productivity

Self-Study Very Strong

	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	
III A.	Enrollment in Program for	or Past 5 Years		
	Self-Study	Very Strong		
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:	There was one weak year, but improvement impressive.
III B.	Degrees Awarded Over	the Past 5 Years		
	Self-Study	Very Strong		
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:	
III C.	Comparison With CSU 8	k USG Programs		
	Self-Study	Very Strong		
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee		CPR Committee Observations:	Insufficient information for comparison
III D.	Progam Retention Rate			
	Self-Study	Very Strong		
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	
III E.	Student Learning Indicat	ors		
	Self-Study	Very Strong		
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	Recent post-exams indicate graduates are performing well.
III F.	Graduation Rate of Prog	ram		
	Self-Study	Very Strong		
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	
III G.	Cost-Effectiveness of Instructional Delivery			
	Self-Study	Very Strong		
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	One reviewer thought there was insufficient information.
Section	on Four - Program Viabil	_		
IV A.	Summary Findings of Pro	Rating Category ogram's Overall Viability		Observations
	Self-Study	Very Strong		
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	Need more faculty with doctorates, in general the faculty should be encouraged to do research which should strengthen their already fine work in clinical instruction.
IV B.	Summary Findings of Pro	ogram's Improvement Plans		
	Self-Study	Very Strong		
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	Faculty will modify the program evaluation plan and use data to drive changes in the future.
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	